

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/809,523	03/26/2004	Kesahiro Koike	Q80755	7526
23373 7	590 02/02/2006		EXAMINER	
SUGHRUE MION, PLLC			VINH, LAN	
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W. SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20037			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1765	

DATE MAILED: 02/02/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		(_ '				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/809,523	KOIKE, KESAHIRO				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Lan Vinh	1765				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirr ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	I. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 M	<u>arch 2004</u> .					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	S) Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r alaction requirement					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	· ·					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		• •				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
	 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior						
application from the International Bureau	•	a in the Hadenar Stage				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 32604. 	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)				
S Patent and Trademark Office	,					

Application/Control Number: 10/809,523

Art Unit: 1765

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claims 4, 5, 6, 7, 8 objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim should refer to other claims in the alternative only--, and/or cannot depend from any other multiple dependent claim--. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claims have not been further treated on the merits.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1, 3, 4-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Nakagawa et al (US 5,494,721)

Nakagawa discloses a method for fabricating a substrate for a magnetic disc which comprises glass. The method comprises the steps of:

measuring the projections on the surface of the glass substrate (col 8, lines 45-50), which reads on measuring a convex/concave profile of a surface of the glass substrate for a mask blank

controlling the average height of the projection on the surface of the glass substrate and making comparison on minimum limit flying height (col 9, lines 6-11; lines 55-59), which reads on controlling a flatness of the surface of the glass substrate to a value not

Application/Control Number: 10/809,523 Page 3

Art Unit: 1765

greater than a predetermined reference value by specifying the degree of convexity of a convex portion present on the surface of the glass substrate with reference to a result of measurement obtained in the profile measuring step, executing an ion beam etching /local machining to form projection /convex portion under a machining condition depending upon the degree of convexity (col 9, lines 25-30; col 14, lines 40-45)

subsequently, subjecting the disc/glass surface to a polishing step by placing the discs via spacer between two upper and lower plates while feeding a polishing liquid containing alumina powder (col 13, lines 4-11), which reads on subjecting the surface of the glass substrate to the local machining by the action of a machining liquid interposed between the surface of the glass substrate and a surface of a polishing tool without direct contact therebetween.

The limitations of claims 3-4 have been discussed above

Regarding claim 5, Nakagawa discloses that the average height of the projection/flatness value of the surface of the glass is 0.005-0,20 microns (col 9, lines 10-12)

Regarding claim 6, Nakagawa discloses forming a thin film 3 on the glass substrate (fig. 1)

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

⁽a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

Application/Control Number: 10/809,523

Art Unit: 1765

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nakagawa et al (US 5,494,721) in view of Carr et al (US 5,761,790)

Nakagawa method has been described above. Unlike the instant claimed invention as per claim 2, Nakagawa fails to disclose carrying out the non-contact polishing by float polishing

Carr discloses a process for manufacturing a thin film comprises the step of polishing a wafer using float polishing (col 5, lines 35-40)

One skilled in the art at the time the invention was made would have found it obvious to modify Nakagawa non-contact polishing step by using float polishing as per Carr because Carr discloses that after float polishing, the substrate and insulator region preferably define smooth, nearly planar surface (col 3, lines 31-33)

6. Claims 7-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nakagawa et al (US 5,494,721) in view of Ohnuma (US 6,924,068))

Nakagawa method has been described above. Unlike the instant claimed invention as per claims 7-8, Nakagawa fails to disclose the step of patterning the thin film and transferring the thin film pattern of the transfer mask onto a semiconductor substrate by lithography

Ohnuma discloses a method for fabricating a photomask comprises the step of patterning the thin film and transferring the thin film pattern of the transfer mask onto a glass substrate by lithography (col 4, lines 53-60)

Since Nakagawa is concerned with etching the glass substrate, one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made would have found it obvious to modify Nakagawa method by patterning the thin film and transferring the thin film pattern of the transfer mask onto a glass substrate by lithography as per Ohnuma because Ohnuma discloses that resist pattern formed by photolithography is utilized as a mask for processes such as etching base film (col 1, lines 16-20)

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lan Vinh whose telephone number is 571 272 1471. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nadine Norton can be reached on 571 272 1465. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov.

January 31, 2006